

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD WAYNE COOLEY,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-05-0870 FCD DAD P

vs.

ROSANNE CAMPBELL, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case “if the interests of justice so require.” See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner’s February 21, 2007 motion for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.

DATED: March 16, 2007.

DAD:9:mp
cool0870.110



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE